1	Lisa M. Simpson, Esq.	
2	SIMPSON LAW FIRM, PLLC.	
3	3820 West Happy Valley Road Suite 141-116	
4	Glendale, Arizona 85310	
41	(480) 440-5775	
5	Arizona State Bar #029662	
6	lisasimpson@azsimpsonlaw.com	
7		
. 8	IN THE SUPREME COURT	
9	STATE OF	ADIZONA
	SIATE OF	ARIZONA
10		
11	In the Matter of:	Supreme Court No. R-
12	PETITION TO AMEND ER 1.6 (d), RULE 42, ARIZ. R. SUP. CT.	PETITION
13	RULE 42, ARIZ. R. SUP. C1.	
14		
15	Pursuant to Rule 28 of the Rul	es of the Arizona Supreme Court, the
16		
17	undersigned petitions the Supreme Co	urt to amend ER 1.6(d) as set forth in
18	Exhibit A. The proposed amendment	provides for an exception which allows
19		
20	Adoption Service Providers to disclose pertinent information regarding a	
40	birthmother if said disclosure may not only lead to the prevention of fraud, but it	
21		
22	might also aid in the mitigation of any damages incurred as a result of said fraud.	
23	This respond amondment could halv restort both the amotional and dimension	
24	This proposed amendment could help protect both the emotional and financia	
25	investment of an adopting family.	
26	THE PROBLEM	
27	The adoption process is an area of law burdened by the possibility of fraud	
28		

services oftentimes hail from volatile social situations. Opportunists often exploit the emotional aspects of adopting a child for the purpose of financial gain. For example, there have been many situations whereby a pregnant woman, who has no real intention of placing her child for adoption, will seek out and retain adoption services. As is such, she will allow an Adoption Service Provider to case manage her throughout the pregnancy, match her with a wanting adoptive family, and provide her with monthly living expenses and medical care. After delivering the child however, the birthmother will claim her right to change her mind before signing adoption consents. She will do so time and time again, with each new pregnancy, switching each time to a different Adoption Services Provider. Knowing that it is her legal right to change her mind, and having no regard for a potential adopting family, this woman will use her pregnancy and the promise of adoption as a means to an income. Without being privy to this woman's fraudulent past, each new unsuspecting adopting family or Adoption Service Provider falls victim to her schemes.

Another example of fraud can be found in women who work with multiple Adoption Services Providers while promising her child to multiple families. There have been many known instances of women who actually intend to place their child for adoption, however, illegally retain the services of several different Adoption Service Providers. These agencies, unknowingly match said birthmother with an adoptive family who provides her financial assistance with the

understanding that the family will be adopting her unborn child. Meanwhile, the birthmother has made the same assurance to several families and, in turn, has illegally obtained large sums of money under the guise of living expense necessity. Sometimes these mothers will contact additional agencies outside of their residing state to further the scope of their victims. These various families and Adoption Service Providers have no general means of communication to confirm that a birthmother has not obtained adoption services elsewhere. Though the birthmother may eventually place her child with one of the families she has been receiving support from, several other families will have been manipulated and robbed of both their emotional and financial investment.

In addition to lack of intent and retaining numerous families, fraud can be perpetrated in instances when a birthmother is not even pregnant. Sadly, there are entire companies and websites whose sole purpose is to sell items and information that allow a woman to fake a pregnancy. These companies can either sell actual pregnancy urine to pass an administered pregnancy test or they can just provide fake positive pregnancy tests, bogus proof of pregnancies seemingly provided by a healthcare professional, false medical records, stock ultrasounds and pregnant belly prosthetics all designed to fake a viable pregnancy. Armed with these resources any woman can present herself to an Adoption Service Provider as pregnant with the intent to place a child for adoption, be matched with a family or numerous families, and effectively con people out of living expenses by assuring

26

27.

28

them that it is her intent to place her child for adoption. By the time an Adoption Service Provider begins to suspect that something may be amiss, the "birthmother" has disappeared and has moved on to her next victim or victims. Even in instances where a birthmother was actually pregnant but suffered a miscarriage, oftentimes that birthmother will continue to knowingly accept living expenses from her Adoption Services Provider misleading them to believe that an adoption is still possible.

Fraud can also occur during the course of an adoption when a birthmother fails to provide known information or provides misinformation regarding all potential biological fathers to the child. There have been many known instances where a birthmother will either fail to name a birthfather or she will provide the name of a man different than that of the actual potential birthfathers. Oftentimes she will do this to prevent the birthfather from learning of the pregnancy and thereby participating in the adoption. However, by failing to name all potential biological fathers, the birthmother fails to provide the critical information needed to ensure that the rights of any and all potential biological fathers are legally severed under the rules set out by the Arizona Revised Statues. This can lead to a disruption in the adoption, if not an eventual reversal. These situations often cost adopting families to incur large amounts of legal fees to remedy the matter or, in extreme cases, can cost the family the entire adoption.

Birthmothers have also been known to fraudulently misrepresent their own financial situations to Adoption Service Providers. Living expenses are provided to a birthmother when she is unable to work as a result of the pregnancy. The expenses must be reasonable and pregnancy related. However, there have been instances where a birthmother fails to provide financial information that would offset her qualification for expenses. Additionally, there have been known instances where a birthmother has, in fact, been employed and collecting an income, yet hides that income from her Adoption Service Provider so as to seemingly qualify for a full allotted amount of living expenses. This results in an adopting family providing the birthmother with an amount of living expenses that are not only unnecessary, but which allow her to illegally financially gain from the adoption process. While this birthmother may likely still place her child for adoption with the adopting family, her fraudulent behavior places an unnecessary financial strain on that family.

THE RULE AS IS

There are no viable means of holding a birthmother accountable for fraudulent activity. There is no system in place that allows Adoption Service Providers to either mitigate or prevent fraud from occurring. However, the ability for Adoption Service Providers to disclose to one another information with regard to known or suspected fraudulent birthmothers, fraud would provide a measure of accountability. Currently ER 1.6(d) only permits the disclosure of confidential

information if any damages that occurred as a result of the fraud can be feasibly mitigated. Oftentimes, and as the fraudulent activity is usually perpetrated by someone without the financial means to mitigate the damage, this type of disclosure is useless. However, a perpetrator's financial inability to mitigate the damages caused by the fraud should not disavow them of accountability. Furthermore, the inability to mitigate current damages should not hinder the ability to prevent further damage. Many times, because there is no accountability, the birthmother will attempt the same fraudulent actions over and over again. In one instance, an agency discovered that a mother had been working with five families at one time. No one was able to provide any information to law enforcement and thus she was never prosecuted. Even more disturbing was the fact that only a few months later, when she was pregnant with yet another child, her name was coming up on the adoption scam boards. People knew it and could not warn anyone.

THE RULE AS AMENDED

The amended Rule would allow, in the event of suspected or confirmed multiple representation, discontinued services, or misrepresentation, for an Adoption Service Provider to contact and release as much information as necessary to any other Adoption Service Providers or adopting family for the purpose of mitigating or preventing fraud. The disclosure of this information is vital to properly assessing the motives, means and intentions of a prospective birthmother. This could in turn, prevent a prospective adoptive family from

28:

becoming involved with a birthmother who they felt had too many previous indiscretions or who they felt had questionable intentions regarding the adoption. Knowing that a potential birthmother has a history of placement failures, has worked with multiple families, or has a history of faking pregnancy could prevent an adoptive family from accepting a match with that birthmother that could possibly leave them in emotional and financial ruin.

In addition, if a birthmother was made aware that her information was going to be disclosed to any and all future adoption service providers, it may prevent her from constantly switching between providers in search of the least amount of accountability and the most amount of funding. Knowing that the she was going to be held accountable for the information she provides and that this information would follow her from one adoption service provider to the next might deter her from jumping from agency to agency or attorney to attorney in the hope that she can continue spreading misinformation, misrepresenting herself or engaging in other fraudulent behavior.

Adoption Service Providers attempt to reduce fraud as much as possible. They do investigations on their birthmothers at the beginning of, and throughout the pregnancy. Once potential fraudulent activity is discovered the birthmother is questioned as to her actions or the information that she disclosed. However, this is not enough. In many instances, the birthmother will get angry and just leave the agency trying to prevent fraudulent activity. She then goes to the next

unsuspecting agency or attorney down the road. The rule, as it is allows, for this to happen. It is extremely hard to sit back when another entity contacts you or when you see her name on adoption scam boards, and there is nothing that you can say or do.

The amended Rule would also allow for an Adoption Service Provider to maintain and share their birthparent database with other entities. Another entity could be forward before it matches an unsuspecting adopting family. It would also allow an Adoption Service Provider to contact and release as much information as necessary to an attorney, local police, the county attorney, or attorney general for purposes of seeking civil or criminal remedies. This would be critical in prosecuting the birthmother for her fraudulent activities and possibly mitigating the damages caused by said fraud. The more information gathered and provided to a prosecuting attorney, the more concrete case which can be built to realize justice for those who have been damaged.

Coincidentally, while preparing this Petition, one of the agencies that counsel represents, met with a birthmother who was seeking their services. Upon a review of their files, they discovered that she previously came to the agency and abruptly discontinued services. A year later, she returned under a fictitious name. The agency reminded her of her previous actions and refused to provide services. Unfortunately, there is no current mechanism in place to warn the next unsuspecting agency or attorney that may encounter this same birthmother and

knowing that she will more than likely cause a potential adoptive family severe financial and emotional distress is extremely disturbing.

CONCLUSION

Adoption Service Providers, and through them, adoptive families, have the right to any and all information which would provide them the opportunity to make an educated and informed decision as to who they match with in an adoption. If Adoption Service Providers can prevent harm through the disclosure of information, then that disclosure becomes acceptable and necessary. Further, if disclosure can lead to the prosecution of a fraudulent birthmother then perhaps families who have been harmed can at least mitigate some of their financial damages. Or, at the very least, they could take solace in the fact that birthmothers might be held accountable for intentionally robbing them of their financial and emotional investment.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this _____ day of January, 2016.

SIMPSON LAW FIRM, PLLC.

By:

Lisa M. Simpson, Esq.

SIMPSON LAW FIRM, PLLC.

3820 West Happy Valley Road

Suite 141-116

Glendale, Arizona 85310

Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Arizona Supreme Court this day of January, 2016.

By: Xion M. Surpson

EXHIBIT A

E.R. 1.6(d) 8:

A licensed Adoption Service Provider may share their birthparent database with other licensed Adoption Service Providers anywhere within the United States for the purpose of preventing or mitigating fraudulent birthparent activities.

- (1) The following information from a licensed Adoption Service Provider's database is permitted to be disclosed:
- i. First names of the birthmother, all potential birthfathers, and/or legal father if applicable
- ii. First two initials of birthmother, all potential birthfathers, and/or legal father's last name
- iii. City and state of birthmother, all potential birthfathers, and/or legal father's residence
- iv. Ages of birthmother, all potential birthfathers, and/or legal father if applicable
 - v. Due date (month/year)
 - vi. Name of Adoption Service Provider
 - vii. Date representation/assistance of birthmother began
- viii. Date representation of birthmother ended
 - ix. Reason representation ended
 - (2) In the event of suspected or confirmed multiple representation, discontinued services, misrepresentation, or lack of disclosure, an Adoption Service Provider may contact and release as much information as necessary to any other Adoption Services Providers or adopting families for the purpose of mitigating or preventing fraud. Information released by an Adoption Service Provider directly to an adopting family shall be limited to the full names of the birthparents and the names of all Adoption Service Providers involved.
 - (3) An Adoption Service Provider or adopting family may contact and release as much information as necessary to an attorney, local police, the county attorney, or attorney general for purposes of seeking civil or criminal remedies.
 - (4) An Adoption Service Provider is any adoption attorney or adoption agency representing or assisting a birthmother or adopting family.